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#### Abstract

The ternary optical computer (TOC) has the characteristics of numerous bits, bit-wise allocation, bit function reconstruction, parallel calculation, and easy extension of the processor. With the successful running of the adder on a 192-bit prototype system SD16 (an abbreviation of Shanghai Daxue's machine 2016) of the TOC in Shanghai University, the theory and technology of the reconfigurable optoelectronic hybrid processor have matured and the great advances have been made in this field. In this paper, based on cellular automaton, a three-lane traffic flow model is studied and applied on a ternary optical computer. The three-lane traffic flow model is designed and the steps for implementing the model on SD16 are provided in detail. An example of the three-lane traffic flow model is given to verify the correctness of the model and the feasibility of the implementation method. It takes advantage of the numerous data bits, reconfigurable operation bits, and parallel computing of the TOC.


## 1. Introduction

Cellular automata (CA) is a grid dynamic system in which time, space and state are discrete, spatial interactions and causality relationship in time are local. Each variable takes only a limited number of states. The model meeting these rules can be regarded as a cellular automaton model. It generally does not have a strictly defined physical equation or function form, but consists of a series of rules.

CA was proposed to simulate the self-replication function of biological systems by the father of computers, von Neumann, in the early 1950s [1]. In 1986, M. Cremer and J. Ludwig applied CA to the research of vehicle traffic [2]. The most basic one-dimensional model in the cellular automaton traffic flow model is the 184 CA model [3,4], which uses elements from grid point chain of one-dimension to simulate vehicles on the road. The state of the vehicle at the next moment is completely determined by the state of the vehicle itself and the two grid points before and after the vehicle. In 1992, Nagel K. and Schreckenberg M. proposed a cellular automaton model (NS model), considering the possibility of a car's gradually restricted forward shifting and random reverse direction shifting [5].

In recent years, with the increasing demand for high-performance computing in the social economy, people's enthusiasm for low-power, high-performance computers is becoming more and more intense. It makes researchers pay more and more attention to the research of new type of computers, such as quantum computers [6,7], biological computer [8], optical computer, etc. [9-11].

Optical computers have certain advantages in data width and parallel carry-free addition, etc. Therefore, they have attracted much attention. The research in photoelectric hybrid ternary optical computer (TOC) in Shanghai University is a more promising field. On March 18, 2017, the research team successfully operated a 36 bit MSD adder based on $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{T}^{\prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime}$, and T 2 transformations (referred to as TM-MSD adder) [12], marking that the theory and technology of the optical reconfigurable photoelectric hybrid processor have matured, and the manufacturing technology has been feasible [911,13]. The TOC has more advantageous than traditional electronic computer systems in solving problems that require more resources and repetitive computations.

At present, there are more and more researches on the potential applications for the TOC. The algorithm design of vector matrix multiplication $[14,15]$ is studied, iterative division algorithm for MSD number is designed and implemented [16]. The FFT algorithm is studied by using huge data bits of optical calculations with less clock cycles [17]. The implementation method of the DFT algorithm is studied by using bitwise allocation and reconfigurability of processor bits of the TOC in the literature [18].

From the characteristics that all the cells of a cellular automaton can be calculated in parallel, it is obviously advantageous to apply it to the application research with the TOC.
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Fig. 1. One-dimension CA.


Fig. 2. Typical two-dimension CA.

## 2. The related theory of CA and the TOC

### 2.1. Cellular automata

The cellular automaton consists of basic elements and cell states. The basic elements have four parts: cell, cell space, neighbor, and transfer rule (or function). Therefore, the CA can be regarded as consisting of a cell space and a transformation function defined on the space [4,5].

The cell is the most basic component, distributing as lattice points in discrete 1-dimension, 2-dimension or high dimensional space. It has a discrete and finite state space. Given a transfer function $f$ of the cellular automaton model and the initial values, the changes of the cells at the next moment are related to the states of the cells at the current time and its neighboring positions. The cell states can be in binary forms, such as ( 0,1 ), (live, dead), (black, white), etc., or in ternary forms, or in some finite integer set $S$ or in a certain interval. A set of spatial lattice points of a cell distribution is called as a cell space. The boundary rules of the cellular space are generally periodic, fixed and reflective, and so on. The CA converts with discrete time values. If the time step is $\mathrm{d} t=1$, then $t+1$ is the next time. $t=0$ is the initial time.

One-dimension CA is a linear structure, as shown in Fig. 1, and the transformation function at each position is $x_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}=f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}+1}, x_{\mathrm{i}}, x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)$, which has $2^{8}$ states.

Two-dimension CA is a grid structure, as shown in Fig. 2, and its transfer function is $x_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\prime}=f\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is the set of neighbor information of $x_{\mathrm{ij}}$.

The basic operation of the CA model M of scale $\mathrm{m} \times \mathrm{n}$ is as follows:
(1) For some kind of grid division of $M$, the next state $x_{i \mathrm{ij}}^{\prime}$ of each cell $x_{\mathrm{ij}}$ depends on the states of some cells adjacent to $x_{\mathrm{ij}}, x_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\prime}=f\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is a set of neighbor elements;
(2) The states of all cells can be calculated in parallel;
(3) Evolutionary calculations finish until entering a stable state or the specified step k .

Since the next state of a cell is related to its neighbor states, its transfer rule is defined in the local scope of the space. Therefore, the neighbor rules must be defined firstly.

The transfer rule is a dynamic function that determines the states of the cells at the next moment based on the current states of the cells and their neighbors, that is, a state transfer function. It can be expressed as formula (1):
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}^{t+1}=f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}}^{t}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{N}}^{t}\right)$
Where $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}^{t}$ is the state of the cell i at time $t$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}^{t}$ is the state of the neighbor N . Here, $f$ is called as a local map or a local rule of a CA.

Therefore, the CA system $M$ can be represented by a tuple $M=\left(L_{d}\right.$, $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}, f$ ), where $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is a cell space, S is a finite state set, d is a spatial

Table 1
One set of logical transformations of carry-free MSD addition.

| $\underline{\mathrm{S}}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{1}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{2}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b$ | $a$ |  |  | $b$ | a |  |  | $s_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ |  | $s_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ |  | $j_{2}$ | $j_{1}$ |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | u |  | 0 | 1 | u |  | 0 | u |  | 0 | u |  | 0 | u |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | u | u | 0 | 0 | u | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | u |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | u | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| u | 0 | 0 | u | u | u | 0 | 0 | u | 0 | u | u | u | 0 | u | u | 0 |

Table 2
Simplified Logical transformations of SJ-MSD adder.

| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{12}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b$ | $A$ |  |  | $b$ | $a$ |  |  | $s_{1}$ | $s_{2}$ |  |  | $j_{2}$ | $j_{1}$ |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | U |  | 0 | 1 | u |  | 0 | V | H |  | 0 | u |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | u | u | 0 | 0 | u | 1 | 0 | 0 | u |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | u | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| u | 0 | 0 | u | u | u | 0 | 0 | u | u | u | 0 | u | u | 0 |

dimension, and N represents a set of the combination of all cells in the neighborhood (including the central cell), $f$ is the local conversion rule (or function). In this paper, we selects the number of neighbors $3^{d}-1$ according to the actual situation.

### 2.2. The principle of SJ-MSD adder

As early as in 2010, the research team began to consider the problem of parallel carry-free modified signed-digit (MSD) addition. By examining the three-step TW-MSD addition transformation T, W, $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~W}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$, Prof. Yunfu Shen obtained a set of simpler parallel carryfree three-step MSD addition transformation rules in 2013, as shown in Table 1. In 2016, Shen described the characteristics of the parallel carry-free three-step MSD addition, and gave sets of all the transformation rules that satisfy parallel computing of the MSD addition in three-step thoroughly. These MSD addition rules provide a theoretical basis for the operator selections of the TOC. Some were applied in the design of actual optical computer processors.

The Shen-Jiang modified signed-digit (SJ-MSD) adder is named after Yunfu Shen and Ph.D Jiabao Jiang. It is based on the MSD addition rules shown in Table 1 by combining the sub-tables $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$, as shown in sub-table $\mathrm{J}_{12}$ of Table 2.

Here, we use the vertical polarized light (V), horizontal polarized light (H) and null light in optical implementation.

SJ-MSD addition consist of 5 ternary logical transformations (SJ transformations) and a set of operation rules (SJ rule). The 5 transformations are in turn the transformation $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}_{1}, \mathrm{~J}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}_{3}$ shown in Table 1. SJ rule for $k$-bits MSD numbers $a$ and $b$ is described as follows [19]:

Let $a$ and $b$ be two MSD numbers, $a=a_{k-1} \cdots a_{1} a_{0}, b=b_{k-1} \cdots b_{1} b_{0}$.
Step 1: Implementing $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ transformation on data $a$ and $b$ bit by bit, adding one 0 behind the transformation result $s_{1}^{\prime}$ to obtain $(k+1)$ bits value $s_{1}$. At the same time, implementing $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ transformation on data $a$ and $b$ bit by bit too, adding a 0 in front of the transformation result $s_{2}^{\prime}$ to obtain $(k+1)$ bits value $s_{2}$.

Step 2: Implementing $\mathrm{J}_{1}$ transformation on the low $k$ bits both $s_{2}$ and $s_{1}$ bit by bit, adding a 0 behind the result $j_{1}^{\prime}$ to obtain $(k+1)$ bits value $j_{1}$. At the same time, implementing $\mathrm{J}_{2}$ transformation on $s_{2}$ and $s_{1}$ bit by bit, and obtain $(k+1)$ bits value $j_{2}$.

Step 3: Implementing $\mathrm{J}_{3}$ transformation on $j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$ bit by bit, obtaining $(k+1)$ bits value $j_{3}=a+b$.

Then $s$ is the sum of $a$ and $b$.
A SJ-MSD adder in SD16 is constructed according to Table 2 [19]. We omit its details here. In this way, only $4 n+2$ processor bits are needed to complete the addition of the $n$ bits MSD number $a$ and $b$.

## 3. Three-lane CA traffic flow model

The multi-lane traffic flow model based cellular automaton evolved from the NS model [20-22]. The three-lane CA traffic road system consists of three parallel and co-directional lanes, representing by lanes 1,2 , and 3 , each lane is composed of a one-dimensional discrete lattice chain of length L , and each grid on the lattice chain represents a cell, each cell has two states: 0 or 1 , where 0 means null and 1 means that it is occupied by a car. The maximum speed of the vehicle in each lane is $\mathrm{V}_{\text {max }}$, and the motion direction of the vehicle on each lane is fixed (such as from south to north, or from left to right). The speed of each vehicle is determined by the interval $\left[0, \mathrm{~V}_{\text {max }}\right]$ according to the real situation. We use the following variables in the paper:
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)$ : the speed of the ith car from the time $t-1$ to $t$ in the jth lane;
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)$ : the distance between the ith car and the front car at time $t$ on the jth lane;
$x_{\mathrm{jij}}(t)$ : the position of the ith car on the jth lane at time $t$;
$\mathrm{K}_{0}$ : the probability of randomly generating a car;
$\mathrm{K}_{1}$ : the acceleration probability of each vehicle;
$\mathrm{K}_{2}$ : the random slowing probability;
$\mathrm{K}_{3}$ : changing lane probability for each lane;
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ : the driver's desired speed.
$\mathrm{fd}_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{i}}$ : the front distance of the vehicle on lane j in corresponding position relative to the vehicle in lane i ;.
$\mathrm{bd}_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{bV}_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{i}}$ : the rear distance and the speed of the nearest car on lane j in corresponding position relative to the vehicle in lane i respectively.

In the evolution of the model, each evolution is divided into the following three steps.
(1) Update principles of the speed for each vehicle Deceleration:

If $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t) \geq \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)$, then $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t+1)=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)-1$, according to the probability $\mathrm{K}_{2}$;
or $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ij}}(t+1)=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)$, according to the probability $1-\mathrm{K}_{2}$.
If $\left.\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)<\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)\right)$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)=\mathrm{V}_{\text {max }}$, then $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t+1)=\mathrm{V}_{\text {max }}-1$, according to the probability $\mathrm{K}_{2}$;
or $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t+1)=\mathrm{V}_{\text {max }}$, according to the probability is $1-\mathrm{K}_{2}$.

## Acceleration:

If $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)<\mathrm{V}_{\text {max }}$ then $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t+1)=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)$, according to the probability $\mathrm{K}_{1}$;
or $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t+1)=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)+1$, according to the probability $1-\mathrm{K}_{1}$.
(2) Update rules of each vehicle location
$x_{\mathrm{ji}}(t+1)=x_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t+1)$;
(3) Change lane rules for each vehicle

After the vehicle running in each lane, it will change lanes according to the driving needs. But it must meet the overtaking principle and safety principles.
(1) The changing lane rule of the vehicle in lane 1 . When the distance $d_{1 i}$ of the current ith car of the first lane is smaller than the expected value $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$ of the driver, the changing lane consciousness is generated. If $\mathrm{d}_{1 \mathrm{i}}$ is smaller than the distance $\mathrm{fd}_{2,1}$ of the corresponding position of the second lane in front, and the rear distance $\mathrm{bd}_{2,1}$ of the second lane in corresponding position is greater than or equal to the speed $b V_{2,1}$ of the nearest neighbor vehicle behind in the second lane, the current vehicle in the first lane is transferred to the second lane with the probability q , keeping its current speed.
(2) The changing lane rule of the vehicle in lane 2 . When the distance $\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{i}}$ of the current position of the ith car in the second lane is less than the expected value $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$, the changing lane consciousness is generated.
(1) Turn-left rule: If $\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{fd}_{1,2}$ and $\mathrm{bd}_{1,2}>\mathrm{bV}_{1,2}$, that is, if $\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{i}}$ is smaller than the distance $\mathrm{fd}_{1,2}$, when the rear distance $\mathrm{bd}_{1,2}$ of the corresponding position of one lane is greater than or equal to the speed $\mathrm{bV}_{1,2}$ of the nearest neighbor vehicle behind on the first lane, the current vehicle on the second lane can be transferred to the first lane with the probability q , keeping its current speed.
(2) Turn-right rule: If $\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{i}} \geq \mathrm{fd}_{1,2}$, and $\mathrm{fd}_{3,2}>\mathrm{d}_{2 \mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{bd}_{3,2}>\mathrm{bV}_{3,2}$, the current vehicle in the second lane can be transferred to the third lane with the probability q , keeping its current speed.
(3) The changing lane rule of the vehicle in lane 3 . When $d_{3 \mathrm{i}}<$ $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}$, the changing lane consciousness is generated. If $\mathrm{d}_{3 \mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{fd}_{2,3}$, and $\mathrm{bd}_{2,3} \geq \mathrm{bV}_{2,3}$, the current vehicle in the third lane can be transferred to the second lane with the probability q. After the vehicle turning to the second lane, the vehicle speed remains unchanged.

## 4. Algorithm design of three-lane traffic flow on the TOC

### 4.1. Three-lane traffic flow design based on the TOC

Giving a section of road with the length L kilometers, suppose that one kilometer is regarded as one cell and the maximum speed is $\mathrm{V}_{\max }$. Denote the position and its speed of the ith car on the jth lane by $x_{\mathrm{ji}}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}$ respectively, $0 \leq x_{\mathrm{ji}} \leq \mathrm{L}, 0 \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}} \leq \mathrm{V}_{\max }$. Let $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}=\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{V}_{\max }$ and $\mathrm{w}=\left\lceil\log _{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{V}_{\max }\right)\right\rceil \cdot \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ is the maximum length needed to be considered for this CA.

Any position between 0 and $L^{\prime}$ can be represented by a binary number of w bits.

In the CA traffic flow model, three lanes have 3L grid points, which the position of each grid point is regarded as a cell. The new status of every cell involves at most $2+\mathrm{V}_{\max }$ cells, as shown in Fig. 3. The position, speed and displacement of the vehicle need to be calculated. They just need addition. So each car is equipped with an adder of w bits.

Suppose that the ternary optical processor has a total of $m$ bits. According to the calculation process of the SJ-MSD adder, the MSD adder of $w$ bits only needs $4 w+2$ processor bits. Therefore, we can construct $\mathrm{p}=\lceil\mathrm{m} /(4 * \mathrm{w}+2)\rfloor$ small adders within the m-bit ternary optical processor. That is, the position states of p vehicles can be calculated simultaneously. For the p adders of w bits, the task management software of host computer performs the allocation of processor bits for each adder. Let G be the start position of the first adder in the processor.

Denote $\operatorname{Pos}[0]=G, \operatorname{Pos}[i+1]=\operatorname{Pos}[i]+4 w+2, i=0,1, \ldots, p$ -2 . Then the start bit of the ith adder is $\operatorname{Pos}[i]$.

Suppose that there are num vehicles on the road. Then it will need $\lfloor n u m / \mathrm{p}\rfloor$ calculation to update the information of these vehicles, and each calculation is completed in parallel. The image information calculated of the p cars is stored in a BUF. The updated position information of all the vehicles is obtained after $\lfloor n u m / \mathrm{p}\rfloor$ calculation. The information in the register is transmitted to the upper computer for decoding, and the information of all vehicle positions is obtained.

### 4.2. Implementation process of three-lane traffic flow on a ternary optical processor

SD16 is a photoelectric hybrid computer, and the structure of computing-data model is shown in Fig. 4 [23]. It can be seen from Fig. 4 that it consists of two parts: an upper computer (a master computer) and a lower computer (a slave computer). The master computer is a PC with a traditional operating system, which is responsible for running task management software and communicating with users. The task management software is responsible for generating the reconstruction information and calculation data information of the slave computer (the optical processor) [24]. The reconstruction information makes the TOC operator to reconstruct the various calculators required by the user,


Fig. 3. Three-lane traffic flow Model CA.


Fig. 4. Computing-data model.
and the calculation data information is used for calculation on the TOC operator. The calculated result beam is sent to the TOC decoder by the slave computer control software to obtain the user's binary result data. The calculation result is returned to the user through the task management software [23-25].

In the three-lane CA traffic flow model, each vehicle has three attributes: acceleration, displacement, and lane change. The master computer is responsible for generating the vehicle grid position information that the salve computer needs to calculate, and sends the grid information to the salve computer for calculation. The salve computer receives the calculation information of each grid point transmitted from the master computer, calculates the speed and displacement of the vehicle, obtains the result information, and returns the result information to the master computer for decoding to obtain the binary result data.

Therefore, only the adder needs to be designed on the TOC, and the lane change is completed by the master computer. The three-lane traffic flow design based on the ternary optical computer, the workflow is as follows:
(1) Constructs the whole road $L$ with the task management software in the master computer;
(2) Determine the bit number w of the required optical adder according to the road length L and the maximum speed $\mathrm{V}_{\text {max }}$;
(3) Determine the number p of small adders with w bits that can be reconstructed in optical processor by $\mathrm{p}=\lceil\mathrm{m} /(4 * \mathrm{w}+2)\rfloor$;
(4) Allocate processor bits for the $p$ adders in master computer, and complete the reconstruction of these $p$ adders;
(5) Generate a vehicle (car) randomly, and initialize its speed v, the lane and the displacement S. And arrange the vehicle randomly on lane 1 , 2 or 3 according to the probability;
(6) Assign an adder to the newly generated vehicle;
(7) Find all the vehicles on the road, and for each vehicle at time $t$ determine the information of distance before and after it, speed and position;
(8) Determine if the vehicle is shifting or not, and determine its acceleration according to the information of distance before and after it, speed and position at time $t$;
(9) Send the speed and acceleration of each vehicle at time $t$ to the optical processor of the slave computer, and calculate the speed of each vehicle at time $t+1$;
(10) Send the speed and position information of each vehicle at $t+1$ to the corresponding adder in the optical processor to calculate
and complete the update of the corresponding position information. The position information of the $p$ vehicles can be updated simultaneously, and these information can be stored in the buffer BUF. Repeat the process until all vehicles complete the update of the location information.
(11) Denote the storage information for empty position with null or $0 ;$
(12) Send the image information of 3L positions to the master computer for decoding, and finally generate a binary result of position information.

## 5. Implementation and analysis of three-lane traffic flow problem on SD16

### 5.1. Brief introduction to SD16

The TOC uses two polarized light with orthogonal polarization states and a null light state to express information, and uses such device as liquid crystal pixel array to rotate the polarization direction of light in order to perform light state conversion. It can have millions of processor bits to realize various operations.

SD16 is a ternary optical reconfigurable photoelectric hybrid processor developed by Shanghai University in 2016. SD16 consists of two parts: the master computer and the slave computer. The master computer is a traditional electronic computer with 64 bit windows 7 operating system, Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-4790 CPU @ $3.60 \mathrm{GHz}, 4 \mathrm{~GB}$. The slave computer is ternary optical processor. The processor bits of the slave computer can be divided into several sections according to the needs of the user, and each section can be used to run different programs independently; each processor bit can be changed its calculation function at any time according to the needs of the user. SD16 can add 64 basic operation modules, one of which has 192 processor bits, reaching 12288 processor bits. At present, each processor bit can be reconstituted into a bit of any three-valued (including two-valued) logical operator, or $4 n+2$ processor bits can be used to construct an n-bit parallel carry-free SJ-MSD adder. For a module of 192 bit SD16, it can constitute up to 47 bit three-step SJ-MSD adder. The appearance of the prototype SD16 is shown in Fig. 5.

In the slave computer of SD16, we use a liquid crystal board LCD with 576 pixels to serve as a processor, and the pixel bits are arranged in the form as Fig. 6, where three adjacent pixels in the same row form a processor bit. To observe the result data easily, we divide the LCD board


Fig. 5. The prototype SD16 of the TOC.


Fig. 6. Liquid crystal divisions of SD16.
into two parts: the left and right parts, and number each processor bit from 0 to 191. The number of each pixel of the LCD is shown in Fig. 6. Each pixel can output no light, horizontally polarized light or vertically polarized light. According to our design for the processor, the three adjacent pixels in the same row are denoted by W (null light), V (vertically polarized light) and H (Horizontally polarized light) respectively. There is only one output ( $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{V}$ or H ) and it cannot have two outputs V and H at the same time for the same processor bit.

### 5.2. Implementation of an instance on SD16

As an example, suppose the length of a section of highway is $\mathrm{L}=80$ km and the maximum speed $\mathrm{V}_{\max }$ is set to 12 units. Hence, the position range of each vehicle is $0 \leq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ji}} \leq 80$ and the speed range of each vehicle is $0 \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}} \leq 12$, and $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}=\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{V}_{\text {max }}=92$ and $\mathrm{w}=\left\lceil\log _{2} \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}\right\rceil=7$. It means that it requires 7 bits to represent the displacement value.

In our SJ-MSD adder, J1 and J2 transformations can be finished in different pixels of the same processor bit [19]. Now each position on the road is regarded as a cell which is corresponding to a small MSD adder with 7 bits. Here, we design all the small SJ-MSD adders with 8 bits. So, each adder needs $4 * 8+2=34$ processor bits. We can equip with $[192 / 34\rfloor=5$ adders, which can calculate the position status of 5 vehicles at the same time.

In this example, the processor bit allocation on SD16 is as follows. Let $G=0$. Then $\operatorname{Pos}[0]=0, \operatorname{Pos}[i+1]=\operatorname{Pos}[i]+34, i=0,1, \ldots, p$ -2 . So the start position of the ith adder is Pos[i]. The start positions
of the five small adders are $0,34,68,102$, and 136 respectively. After the allocation of processor bits, the configuration image of processor bits in SD16 is formed according to the specific function of each bit, as shown in Fig. 7. The five districts A, B, C, D, and E in this image are divided according to the corresponding adders. For example, eight $A S_{1}$ and $A S_{2}$ represent the 8 bit input data $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ of the first adder respectively. Similarly, $\mathrm{AJ}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{AJ}_{2}$ indicate the results of $\mathrm{AS}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{AS}_{2}$ after the transformation of $\mathrm{J}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{J}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{AJ}_{3}$ is the result of $\mathrm{AJ}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{AJ}_{2}$ after $\mathrm{J}_{3}$ the transformation, that is, $\mathrm{AJ}_{3}$ is the sum of $\mathrm{AS}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{AS}_{2}$.

### 5.3. Experimental results and analysis

The experiment is carried out on SD16. Assume that the vehicle is randomly generated in each lane with equal probability $\mathrm{K}_{0}$. Here, we let $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0.9, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=0.7, \mathrm{~K}_{2}=0.5, \mathrm{~K}_{3}=0.3$. And let $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h}}=3$, which means 30 km per hour, and $\mathrm{V}_{\max }=5$, which means 50 km per hour. Before the beginning of the system, we construct five 8 bit adders, each of which has two input data: the augend a (the position of the vehicle at time $t, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)$ ) and the addend b (the speed of the vehicle at time $t$, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ji}}(t)$ ). The result in this example represents the displacement value of the corresponding vehicle.

When time $t$ is 0 , the first car was randomly generated, and the first adder was assigned to the car at this time. The position of the vehicle $x_{31}(0)$ is 0 , and the speed $\mathrm{V}_{31}(0)$ is 1 . Therefore, for the first vehicle, the augend a is 0 , and the addend b is 1 . The augends and addends of the other four adders are 0 , that is, the input data of the five adders in the first screen are: (1) $a$ is $0, b$ is 1 ; (2) $a$ is $0, b$ is 0 ; (3) $a$ is $0, b$ is 0 ; (4) $a$ is $0, b$ is 0 ; (5) $a$ is $0, b$ is 0 . The screenshot of the vehicle running state on the road at the next moment is shown in Fig. 8, and the corresponding calculation result on the TOC is as shown in Fig. 9.

It can be seen from Fig. 7 that the result values are from the 25th to 33rd bits on the liquid crystal board, and the result is displayed from the high to the low. It can be seen from Fig. 8 that the result value of the first adder is $(00000001 \mathrm{u})_{\mathrm{MSD}}=1$. Where the augend a is 0 , the addend b is $1,0+1=1$, the calculation result is correct.

When time $t$ is 1 , the second car was randomly generated, at which time the second adder was assigned to the car. The position $\mathrm{X}_{11}(1)$ of the vehicle 2 is 0 , and the speed $V_{11}(1)$ is 2 , which means that the augend $a$ is 0 and the addend $b$ is 2 for the adder of the second car. At the moment, for the first vehicle, the position $\mathrm{X}_{31}(1)$ is 1 , the speed $\mathrm{V}_{31}(1)$ is 2 . The augend and addend of the other three adder are all. That is, the input data $a$ of the five adders at the second time are 1 , $0,0,0,0$ respectively, the input data $b$ is $2,2,0,0,0$ respectively. The screenshot of electronic computer at the next moment is shown

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0{ }^{0} 1$ | 3 H | $6{ }_{1} 7$ | $9 \mathrm{~F}, 10,114$ |  |  |  | 23＋ |
| ${ }^{2}: 95{ }_{2} \mathrm{CJJ}_{3}$ | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  | CJ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{+}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{3}$ |
| C $\mathrm{J}_{2} 91{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathbf{C J} \mathbf{J}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{9 0}{ }_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{CJ} \mathbf{J}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{CJ}_{2}{ }^{\prime} 89{ }^{\text {a }}$ CJ $\mathrm{J}_{1}$ | C | ${ }_{\sim}^{2} 100 \mathrm{CJ}_{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | －10 | $\bigcirc{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{103}$ ： $\mathrm{DS}_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{CJ}_{21}^{1} 87{ }_{4}^{\text {i }} \mathrm{CJ}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{CJ}_{21} \mathbf{8 6} \% \mathrm{CJ}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{CJ}_{2} 854 \mathrm{CJ}_{1}$ |  |  | ＋105 | ＋：106 |  |
| ¢：83 | － $82: \mathrm{CS}_{2}$ | ＋1 | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | ¢108：DS ${ }_{1}$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ |
| ＋ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ ！ $113 ; \mathrm{DS}_{2}$ | ， 11 | ¢：115：DS ${ }_{\text {\％}}$ |
| ¢ 75 | ¢：74 | ！ |  | ＋ | 1 | $\mathrm{J}_{1} 118$ | $\mathrm{J}_{1} \mathbf{1 1 9} \mathbf{D} \mathrm{DJ}_{2}$ |
| ${ }^{2} \mathbf{7 1} \mathrm{CSS}_{1}$ | － 70 | ＋！ | $\bigcirc$ | DJ ${ }^{120}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{1} 12$ | $\mathrm{J}_{1} 122$ | $\mathrm{J}_{1} 123 \mathrm{DJ}_{2}$ |
| －67 | －66 | ＋：6 | \％ $64{ }^{1} \mathrm{BJ}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{DJ}_{1} 124$ | $\mathrm{J}_{1} 12$ | $\mathrm{J}_{1} 126: \mathrm{DJ}_{2}$ | ${ }_{\sim}^{127}{ }^{127} \mathbf{D J}_{3}$ |
| \％ 63 | ＋62 6 | ¢ 61 |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{P}^{128} \mathrm{DJ}_{3}$ | p 129 | ${ }^{130} \mathrm{DJ}_{3}$ | ${ }_{\sim}^{131} \mathrm{DJ}_{3}$ |
| ${ }_{4}{ }^{59}{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{BJ}_{3}$ | BJ ${ }_{2}^{1}$ 58 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{BJ} \mathrm{J}_{1}$ | BJ ${ }_{2}{ }^{\prime} 57{ }_{4}^{\prime} \mathrm{B} \mathbf{J}_{1}$ | BJ ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }_{\sim} \mathbf{1}^{132} \mathrm{DJ}_{3}$ | ¢＇133 | ${ }^{\sim}$ ：134！${ }^{\text {d }}$ 3 |  |
| BJ ${ }_{2}^{\prime}$ 55 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | BJ | B |  | ＋137 | $1381 \mathrm{ESS}_{1}$ | ＋139 ${ }^{\text {E }}$ ES ${ }_{1}$ |
| BJ ${ }_{2}^{\prime}$ 51 | BJ | $\bigcirc$ | － $48{ }_{4}^{1} B$ | －140＇ES | $\square$ 141：ES | －142＇ES | （143＇ES ${ }_{1}$ |
| －：4 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4}$ | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | ${ }^{\bullet}: 44{ }_{4}^{4} \mathrm{BS}_{2}$ | $\bigcirc$＇144＇E | ${ }^{1} 145$ ES $_{2}$ | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | ¢ 147） $\mathrm{ESS}_{2}$ |
| ＋143 | －14 | ए＇ | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ | ；148：ES | $\bigcirc 149$ | －150）ES | $\bigcirc 151$ ES $_{2}$ |
| $\bigcirc{ }^{\circ}$ 39：${ }_{0} \mathrm{BS}_{1}$ | ${ }_{4} 38 \mathrm{BS}_{1}$ | $\bigcirc: 37$ | ¢ ${ }^{36}$ | EJ $\mathrm{J}_{1} 152{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{EJ}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{1} 153$ | $\mathrm{J}_{1} 154$ | $\mathbf{J}_{1} \mathbf{1 5 5} \mathbf{E J J}_{2}$ |
| －${ }^{35} \mathrm{BS}_{1}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{34} \mathrm{BS}_{1}$ | ＋：33 |  | $\mathrm{EJ}_{1} 156 \mathrm{EJ}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{J}_{1} 15$ | $\mathrm{J}_{1} 158{ }^{\text {c }}$ EJ | $\mathrm{J}_{1} 159 \mathrm{EJ}_{2}$ |
| ¢ 31：AJ3 |  | ए＇29 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | ¢：28：AJ3 | $\mathrm{EJ}_{1} \mathbf{1 6 0} \mathbf{E J J}_{2}$ | ¢ ${ }^{161} \mathrm{EJ}_{3}$ | ${ }^{1} 162: \mathrm{EJ}_{3}$ |  |
| ¢ $27: \mathbf{A J J}_{3}$ | ¢ $\mathbf{2 6}_{4} \mathbf{A J J}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{25} \mathbf{A J}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{A J}_{2} \mathbf{2 4}^{\text {a }} \mathrm{AJ}_{1}$ | ¢ $164: \mathrm{EJ}_{3}$ | ¢：165 | ¢ $166: \mathrm{EJ}_{3}$ | ¢ $167 / \mathrm{EJ}_{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{A J}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{2 3}^{\prime} \mathbf{A J J}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{A J}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{2 2}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{A J}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{A J}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{2 1}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{A J ~}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{A J}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{2 0}^{\prime} \mathrm{AJ}_{1}$ | ${ }^{\sim}: 168: \mathrm{EJ}_{3}$ | ${ }_{4} 169 \mathrm{EJ}_{3}$ | －170！ | ＋1714 |
| $\mathbf{A J}_{2} 1919 \mathbf{A J}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{AJ}_{2} 18, \mathrm{AJ}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{AJ}_{2} 17{ }^{\text {1 }}$ | $\mathrm{AJ}_{2} 16$ | ¢＇172＇ | ゃ＇173： | ¢＇174＇ | －175＇， |
| $4{ }_{4} 15{ }_{4} \mathrm{AS}_{2}$ | $\bigcirc \mathbf{1 4 \%}^{1} \mathbf{A S}_{2}$ | ${ }_{4} 134 \mathrm{~A}$ | 4 | $\uparrow: 176$ | ヶ：177：$\downarrow$ | ¢＇178！ | ¢ 179！ |
| ${ }^{\sim} 11{ }_{1} \mathrm{AS}_{2}$ | $\bigcirc 10{ }^{1} \mathbf{A S}_{2}$ | －：9 ${ }_{0} \mathrm{AS}_{2}$ | ¢： 8 A ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ९：180： | ゃ：181 | ¢＇182＇ | ¢183 |
|  | $\cdots: 6{ }^{1} \mathrm{AS}_{1}$ | ए： 5 | ？： 4 | ¢＇184： | ${ }^{\circ}$＇185： | ＇186！ | ${ }^{\text {c }}$＇187 |
| $\because{ }^{2}$ ：AS ${ }_{1}$ | ${ }_{4} \mathbf{2}_{1} \mathbf{A S S}_{1}$ | $\leftrightarrow: 1{ }_{*}{ }^{(1)}$ | $\bigcirc: 0{ }_{0} \mathbf{A S}_{1}$ | ¢＇188＇－ | ¢189： | ¢＇190； | $\bigcirc$ 191 |

Fig．7．Configuration image of processor bits in SD16．

> The tine car created




Fig．8．Vehicle running status at the first time on electronic computer．


Fig．9．Vehicle running status at the first time on the TOC．


Fig．11．Vehicle running status at the second time on the TOC．
in Fig．10，and the corresponding calculation result on the TOC is as shown in Fig． 11.

It can be seen that the result values are from the 59th to 67 th bits on the liquid crystal board，and the result is displayed from the


Fig．10．Vehicle running status at the second time on electronic computer．


Fig. 12. Vehicle running status at the third time on the TOC.


Fig. 13. Vehicle running status on the fourth time on the TOC.
high to the low. It can be seen from Fig. 10 that the result value is $(0000001 \mathrm{u} 0)_{\mathrm{MSD}}=2$. At this time, the calculation result of the first adder is $(00000010 \mathrm{u})_{\mathrm{MSD}}=3$, and the calculation result is correct.

When time $t$ is 2 , no new vehicle appears, at which time the vehicle 1 and the vehicle 2 are also corresponding to the adder 1 and the adder 2. The position $X_{11}(1)$ of the vehicle 2 is 2 at time 1 , the speed $V_{11}(1)$ is 3. At this time, the augend of the adder for the second vehicle is 2 , the addend is 3 . The augend of the adder for the first vehicle is $3, \mathrm{~V}_{31}(1)$ is 3 after the speed being accelerated. The augends and addends of the other three adders are all 0 . That is, at this time, the input data $a$ of the five adders at the third time are $3,2,0,0,0$ respectively, the input data $b$ is $3,3,0,0,0$ respectively. The screenshot on liquid crystal board at the next moment is shown in Fig. 12.

As can be seen from Fig. 12, the result of the second adder is $(0000011 \mathrm{u})_{\mathrm{MSD}}=5$. The result of the first adder is $(000000110)_{\mathrm{MSD}}=$ 6 , and the calculation result is correct.

When time $t$ is 3 , the third car is randomly generated, the displacement $a$ is 0 , the speed $b$ is 1 . At this time, it is assigned a third adder. Similarly, the input data $a$ of the five adders are $6,5,0,0,0$ respectively, the input data $b$ is $3,2,1,0,0$ respectively. The screenshot on liquid crystal board at the next moment is shown in Fig. 13.

It can be seen that the result values of the third adder are from the 93rd to 101st bits on the liquid crystal board. As can be seen from Fig. 13, the results of the third adder is $(00000001 \mathrm{u})_{\mathrm{MSD}}=1$, the results of the second adder is $(00000100 \mathrm{u})_{\mathrm{MSD}}=7$, and the results of the first adder is $(00000101 \mathrm{u})_{\mathrm{MSD}}=9$. These calculation values are correct.

When time $t$ is 4 , the fourth car is randomly generated, the displacement $a$ is 0 , and the speed $b$ is 2 . At that moment, it is assigned the 4th adder. Similarly, the input data $a$ of the five adders are $9,7,1,0,0$ respectively, the input data $b$ is $3,2,1,2,0$ respectively. The screenshot on the TOC at the next moment is shown in Fig. 14.

It can be seen that the result values of the third adder are from the 127th to 135th bits on the liquid crystal board. As can be seen from Fig. 14, the results of the fourth adder is $(0000001 \mathrm{u} 0)_{\mathrm{MSD}}=2$, the results of the third adder is $(000000010)_{\text {MSD }}=2$, the results of the second adder is $(00000101 \mathrm{u})_{\text {MSD }}=9$, and the results of the first adder is $(00001 \mathrm{u} 100)_{\mathrm{MSD}}=12$. These calculation values are correct.

When time $t$ is 5 , the fifth car is randomly generated, the displacement $a$ is 0 , the speed $b$ is 1 , and the fifth adder is assigned to it at this


Fig. 14. Vehicle running status on the fifth time on the TOC.


Fig. 15. Vehicle running status on the sixth time on the TOC.
time. Similarly, the input data $a$ of the five adders are $12,9,2,2,0$ respectively, the input data $b$ is $4,3,1,2,1$ respectively. The calculation result screenshot on the TOC at the next moment is shown in Fig. 15.

It can be seen that the result values of the third adder are from the 161 st to 169 th bits on the liquid crystal board. As can be seen from Fig. 15, the results of the fifth adder is $(00000001 \mathrm{u})_{\mathrm{MSD}}=1$, the results of the fourth adder is $(000000100)_{\mathrm{MSD}}=4$, the results of the third adder is $(00000010 \mathrm{u})_{\mathrm{MSD}}=3$, the results of the second adder is $(00001 \mathrm{u} 100)_{\mathrm{MSD}}=12$, and the results of the first adder is $(000010000)_{\text {MSD }}=16$. These calculation values are consistent with theoretical calculations.

For the 6 th, 7 th, ..., cars that are randomly generated later, the new position information is calculated on the TOC according to the similar way above for every 5 cars, and the liquid crystal board will have multiscreen output. From the experimental results, the calculation result of each screen of the TOC are consistent with the theoretical calculation values.

## 6. Conclusion

In this paper, a three-lane CA traffic flow model is designed and implemented in ternary optical computer SD16 using the characteristics of many processor bits, reconfigurability of processor bit and parallel computing of each processor bit. The specific implementation steps of the model on the TOC are introduced in detail. In this experiment, many vehicles are randomly generated, and their positions are determined by the five MSD adders in parallel. It shows that the system can run successfully and the results are the same as on electronic computer. The experiment verifies the correctness of the proposed model and the feasibility of the implementation method. It shows that the application of the cellular automaton on the TOC has obvious advantages.
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